

YOU

TO COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE

This is your survey and your opportunity to tell us what you think about the current scrutiny arrangements and the future of scrutiny at Cheltenham Borough Council.

You are aware that both shared services and commissioning have implications for accountability, and for operation of the local government scrutiny function. As more services are delivered in different ways, effective management and governance of these arrangements will be crucial and scrutiny can play a central part in the process.

You will play a key role in shaping the future of scrutiny so please take this initial opportunity to start doing so. Feel free to select more than one answer and make as many additional comments as you wish — all feedback will be gratefully received.

<b>Cabinet Member</b>	O&S Committee Member	Non O&S Committee Member	Name (optional)
П			
Ш			
	tiny is operating in CBC a		
Very effective	<b>Effective</b>	Less than effective	Poor
/hy do you think this	IS?		
	fectively held to account		Don't know
Ettoctivoly bold to	Hold to account but		
Effectively held to	Held to account but	Rarely held to	DON T KNOW
account  O  ow could this be more	could be improved	account	
account  Ow could this be more	could be improved  General control con	account	
account  Ow could this be more	could be improved  e effective?  g to the development of r	account	□ s?
account  Ow could this be more	could be improved  General control con	account	
account	could be improved  e effective?  g to the development of r	account    new policies and strategies  Partially	S? Not sure
account  ow could this be more services scruting contributing	could be improved  e effective?  g to the development of r	account    new policies and strategies  Partially	S? Not sure
account	could be improved e effective?  g to the development of r No  e?	account  new policies and strategies Partially  new policies and strategies  are policies and strategies  by artially  are policies and strategies  are policies and strategies  by artially  are policies and strategies  by artial policies and strategies  contact and strategies  by artial policies and strategies  contact and strat	S? Not sure
account	could be improved e effective?  g to the development of r No  e?	account	S? Not sure
account  ow could this be more secretiny contributing Yes ow could this improve secretiny achieving p Yes	could be improved  e effective?  g to the development of r  No  =?  ositive outcomes for the	account  new policies and strategies Partially  new policies and strategies  are policies and strategies  by artially  are policies and strategies  are policies and strategies  by artially  are policies and strategies  by artial policies and strategies  contact and strategies  by artial policies and strategies  contact and strat	S?  Not sure
account  ow could this be more secretiny contributing Yes ow could this improve	could be improved  e effective?  g to the development of r  No  e?  ositive outcomes for the  No	account  new policies and strategies Partially  residents of Cheltenham? Partially	S?  Not sure

_Do you leer you have tr		B 12 11	
Yes	No	Partially	Don't know
What do you need?			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
What areas of scrutiny	need the most review?		
Size and structure		Tunining	Officer cumpart
Size and structure	Agenda and reports	Training	Officer support
	Ш	Ш	
What specifically?			
How do you think the s	crutiny work plan should	be determined?	
Driven by business	Driven by chairs in	Discussed by all	Don't know
<u>-</u>	consultation with		Don't know
plan and forward		scrutiny members	
pl <u>a</u> n	Directors		
What form should the a	genda take?		
	Lots of smaller topics	Combination of	Other
-	Lots of sinalier topics		Other
depth	<u></u>	previous options	<u></u>
Why?			
•			
If you have been involv	red in a working group h	ow would you rate its effe	ctiveness?
<del>-</del>		ow would you rate its effe	
If you have been involved Very effective	Effective but could be	ow would you rate its effe Less than effective	ctiveness? Poor
<del>-</del>			Poor
Very effective	Effective but could be improved		
<del>-</del>	Effective but could be improved		Poor
Very effective	Effective but could be improved		Poor
Very effective	Effective but could be improved		Poor
Very effective	Effective but could be improved		Poor
Very effective	Effective but could be improved		Poor
Very effective	Effective but could be improved		Poor
Very effective	Effective but could be improved		Poor
Very effective  How could it be improv	Effective but could be improved  George	Less than effective	Poor
Very effective  How could it be improv  What is your preference	e for the size and structu	Less than effective	Poor
What is your preference Maintain current size	e for the size and structu	Less than effective	Poor  Ad hoc committees
Very effective  How could it be improv  What is your preference	e for the size and structu Current size and structure with more	Less than effective	Poor
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure	e for the size and structu	Less than effective  Tre of scrutiny in CBC?  An overarching committee and task & finish groups	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
Very effective  How could it be improv  What is your preference Maintain current size	e for the size and structu Current size and structure with more	Less than effective	Poor  Ad hoc committees
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure	e for the size and structu Current size and structure with more	Less than effective  Tre of scrutiny in CBC?  An overarching committee and task & finish groups	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure	e for the size and structure with more working groups	Less than effective  Tre of scrutiny in CBC?  An overarching committee and task & finish groups	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure	e for the size and structu Current size and structure with more	Less than effective  Tre of scrutiny in CBC?  An overarching committee and task & finish groups	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure	e for the size and structure with more working groups	Less than effective  Tre of scrutiny in CBC?  An overarching committee and task & finish groups	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure	e for the size and structure with more working groups	Less than effective  Tre of scrutiny in CBC?  An overarching committee and task & finish groups	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure	e for the size and structure with more working groups	Less than effective  Tre of scrutiny in CBC?  An overarching committee and task & finish groups	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure	e for the size and structure with more working groups	Less than effective  Tre of scrutiny in CBC?  An overarching committee and task & finish groups	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure	e for the size and structure with more working groups	Less than effective  Tre of scrutiny in CBC?  An overarching committee and task & finish groups	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure	e for the size and structure with more working groups	Less than effective  Tre of scrutiny in CBC?  An overarching committee and task & finish groups	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure  What officer support do	e for the size and structure Current size and structure with more working groups	Less than effective	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure  What officer support do	e for the size and structure with more working groups  by you need for effective structure with more working groups	Less than effective	Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure  What officer support do  What is the most import Financial scrutiny	e for the size and structure Current size and structure with more working groups	Less than effective	Poor  Ad hoc committees for specific topics
What is your preference Maintain current size and structure  What officer support do	e for the size and structure with more working groups  by you need for effective structure with more working groups	Less than effective	Ad hoc committees for specific topics

Please add your additional comments here:				